## What it takes to hire (and keep) the best in global talent.
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What does it cost to attract
and retain great design leaders?
and retain great design leaders?

> ${ }^{02}$ At the beginning of 2021, InVision conducted an online anonymous survey over a two-week period with the Design Leadership Forum community. Our goal was to identify trends and societal shifts in base salary and happiness amongst design leaders. This report is directional and inspirational, and should be read as a guide.

A mature design practice is the watershed that divides thriving product teams from failing ones.

Without strong design leadership, along with standout specialists in disciplines like UX research, operations, design systems, and UX strategy, the path to maturity is steep, and disruptions like the COVID19 pandemic can become disastrous.

Meanwhile, the
competition for design talent has gone global. The rise of remote work means companies can recruit from anywhere, while talent migrates far from the usual urban hubs in startling numbers.

This shift in hiring norms has led to two major questions:


Are we paying enough
Are we paying to compete for talent in the global market? our best leaders enough to stay?
Survey in numbers


" We asked this truly global group of design leaders about their age, race, gender, location, seniority, years of experience in the field, company size, industry, location and remote operating model.

## What factors actually affect how design leaders get paid (and what does it take to make them happy)?

Recruiters, human resources, and people teams use a broad matrix of factors when putting together a competitive compensation package.
of company


## Scale

of company
Of all the variables
correlated with base
salary, these five
consistently showed
a strong influence
over salary:

Seniority level


Location
of employee


One variable may have mattered in the past, but no longer does:

And a few variables that should never have mattered still show strong correlations:

Gender identity of employee

Race
of employee

## What if salary were graded on a global curve?

Let's zoom out as far as
we can to the global
average salary - for all
levels of seniority in every region and every industry.

Considering this study was mostly design leaders, you might think it's skewing toward the high end. And you'd have a point. Here's why the global average may be
higher than you'd expect:

## Why does the global curve matter?

\$150k \$160k \$168k

$\overline{\text { Mode }} \overline{\text { Median }} \overline{\text { Mean }}$
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> ${ }^{14}$ Should hiring managers in Berlin be concerned with the average pay in San Francisco?

> Should a 200-year-old bank try to compete on salary with a ten-year-old tech startup?

## If we look at 2020 trends

 in the housing market, coupled with the rise of remote-first working styles, and the ongoing globalization of product teams, it becomes clear that for most organizations, global averages matter.Not only can recruiters hire from almost anywhere in the world, talent can apply almost anywhere with internet. The job market is hot and tilts steeply in the designer's favor.

Teams that want to grow their practice with cuttingedge leadership and real world experience will have to pay to compete - at a globally attractive price.

So what does the data show really matters when it comes to salaries?


## Experience

The single most important There are certainly variable to a design leader's exceptions to the rule, pay was their years of but generally, there's a experience in the field. fairly gradual increase in salary the longer a design leader is in the field. That is, until the 25th year of experience. From there, we see a gradual decline.

## \#
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## Industry

Regardless of experience and level of seniority, the industry where design leaders choose to serve has significant impact on their pay, with tech and media at the top of the curve.

Industries that are newer to digital transformation tend to pay less.

## Seniority

Design Leader Salary Report 2021

Levels vary from company to company. Some companies rank the head of design above the director, and others do the opposite.

For our aggregate, levels were ranked based on pay.

## These seniority

 levels signify areas of responsibility, as well as individual behaviors - what they spend their time doing. Here's a high level overview of the levels of seniorityC-Suite*
A rare position typically
paired with a title like Chief Design Officer or Chief Creative Officer. They may have influence over the entire end-to-end product or brand experience. They're sometimes tasked with transforming the entire company's employee experience they're still suppor they're still supporting organization. The CDO is in the best organizational position to make change happen for design.
$\$ 223,833$
(mean)
\$213,006
While there are a few enterprise companies with C-suite representation most have none. Often you'll find the highest design authority resides in the VP, SVP, GVP, or EVP level.
These VPs spend their time analyzing compan strategy and design's ability to contribute to that strategy.

Director
When these positions exist in an enterprise is generally known and is generaliy known and estabished. The people
leading teams.

While sometimes this is the highest design position in the company, it's becoming increasingly common for them to report to a VP of design

## Head of <br> Design

A widely varied title with a variety of responsibility ypes. Some heads of design are the actual design authority in an enterprise, with layers of reports. Others are very senior ICs who are about to grow and These leaders often have strategic input on the broader business, and ore tasked with executing on a tactical plan.

Directors play a strategyfocused role, though they may still be called upon to lean into their tactical background. responsibility of aligning with the business on their department's strategic focus, then they lead tea on strategic execution.

## Senior

$\$ 128,803$

Front-line managers oversee a team or teams, but not managers. Example titles might Seluce Design Manager, or Associate Director.

Individual Contributor
These leaders often have strategic input into the broader business but no direct reports. This is ofter associted with is often associated 'Principal' or 'Architect'. They are at the top of the class for their craft.
"directional, not
statistically significant

## Company scale

Unsurprisingly, the larger the company the higher the salary. The exception of course is the business of one, who values their work however they see fit (usually very highly).

The difference in
average salary
(10-49 vs. 100,000+)

The highest paid salaries are at opposing ends of the spectrum

## One 100k+



Where someone is based greatly affects their base salary.

The difference in average salary (US vs. EMEA)

## $\$ 78,703$

## Remote operating model




Unfortunately, there are still some factors that have an impact, that clearly shouldn't.

## Gender

${ }^{30}$ Gender still affects salary, in some spaces more than others. The men vs. women gap overall is closing. There's a $\$ 3 \mathrm{k}$ difference between men and women in the study (with men being paid slightly more), but representation is also imbalanced.

Out of the 608 respondents


1\% of survey responses
identify as non-binary

## Lagging industry: Technology

The tech industry gender pay gap is three times larger than the aggregate.

of leadership roles in tech are filled by men




## We're going to keep seeing this disparity, and seeing it grow, if the people affected by it aren't in the room when decisions are made.
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Tiffany Vurek Lee
DEI Committee Leader, DLF


Beyond questions about salary, we also asked about salary happiness, plus whether it's taboo to discuss pay in their company, and whether there are pay transparency policies. What we found was interesting....

It makes sense that when employees are openly encouraged to talk about salary, there's a good chance they're also being paid well. Design leaders happy with their salaries are $50 \%$ more likely to be working at a company with transparency policies regarding pay.
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## ${ }^{40} \quad$ In short: Transparency around pay correlates with happy salary numbers.



## \% \%

of companies polled in this survey have pay transparency policies
of survey respondents reported it's taboo to talk about pay at work

Pay is not transparent, so it's weird to talk about it.


Strangely this held true globally across seniority levels - even when salary averages grew.

If you want to lure away talent, and keep it, offer the happy salary.


The data for this report came from within the Design Leadership Forum, which consists of 3,000 leaders. Theoretically that's big enough to represent the full gender and racial identity makeup of the broader population.

But we don't see that makeup represented here in the report. Sadly that's the reality of the diversity represented in the DLF and in most companies. In fact, even with our current gaps, if the DLF were a 3000-person company we'd be fairly average in terms of gender and racial representation. In the tech industry we'd be better than average.

## 4

## A INORD FROMI

Tiffany Vurek Lee and
Vincent Brathwaite
DEI Committee Leaders, Design Leadership Forum

In spite of our efforts to build mor inclusive events, more engaging experiences all over the globe, peop in the minority just aren't here.

We have to ask why. Why are women missing, not just from full $50 \%$ epresentation in the DLF, but from epresentation at the highest levels of leadership? Why are Black and Hispani minuscule numbers not just in the DLF, but also in the ranks of the mos prominent design teams in the world?
his is the gap you get if you try to grow diversity organically. The DLF started nromising ground, founded in San ralanced mix of leaders, slightly more than $50 \%$ white, but zero b epresentation. What followed that
 door referral policy-the same level of outreach and engagement as many
companies put into their hiring efforts. The people who answered that open call tended to be white men.

Just like we've seen in every other industry, organic growth didn't delive diversity. It's going to require workpushing and asking and engaging far uside the easy, organic circles we

As members of the diversity and inclusion effort in the DLF, we're going to be pushing further and asking hard questions. We hope you will too.
Why are we hoping people from underrepresented communities come to us, instead of going to them?

Do people from diverse backgrounds feel they have to code switch or overperform in order to be accepted as equals in our group?
How can we encourage everyone perhaps especially people with privilege, to openly share knowledge about pay and hiring, so we can work towards equity for all?
Do we care enough about these disparities to do what it takes to fix them?

Are we going to be the ones to set the standard others follow in how this is done? Are we willing to put in the wor and tell a more equitable story?
Most of all, we have to start talking about pay, about hiring, about inclusion and diversity. People need data befor hey can make change. Transpare changes.

The talent market is in flux, and salaries are rising. This study benchmarks a strange moment in history when work changed overnight, and it's still transforming.

Design matters more than ever when the market shifts. Agility matters. Partnerships between disciplines matter. The talent make-up and maturity of every product organization are the difference between failing and thriving.

As leaders work to grow their teams they'll need to keep an eye on the rapidlyshifting numbers - everywhere. And more importantly, they'll need to listen hard to know what will keep their most talented people happy.

Early analysis indicates there will be an increase in pay for a long time. Maybe the rest of the 2020s. Every year, we'll see bigger salaries. As design continues to provide more value to the business, people will get paid more. As these roles are valued and better paid, people will choose to stay and will not transition out, which feeds the cycle of increased value/pay.

## How to

 use this report


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Appendix \\
Sample size too small to include
\end{tabular}} \& Seniority ove \& view \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \& C-suite \& |\% \& VP \& |\% \& Directors \& |\% \& Head \& | \% \& Mid-level manager \& |\% \& Senior IC \& |\% \& \({ }^{\text {Mid IC }}\) \& |\% \& Junior \& |\% \& Other \& \% \\
\hline \& Number \& 15 \& 3\% \& 87 \& 15\% \& 249 \& 42\% \& 102 \& 17\% \& 94 \& 16\% \& 44 \& 7\% \& - \& \& - \& \& \& \\
\hline \multirow{20}{*}{2021 Design Leader Salary Report} \& Salary \& \$223,833 \& \& \$213,006 \& \& \$172,871 \& \& \$139,670 \& \& \$136,672 \& \& \$128.803 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& Average Comp Adjust \& \$290,982.90 \& \& \$295,207 \& \& \$224,372 \& \& \$180,700 \& \& \$177,673.60 \& \& \$167.443.90 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& Years of experience \& 19 \& \& 18 \& \& 17 \& \& 14 \& \& 13 \& \& \({ }^{131}\) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \(\overline{\text { Above / below average }}\) \& 35\% \& \& 29\% \& \& \[
5 \%
\] \& \& -15\% \& \& -17\% \& \& \[
-22 \%
\] \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{Fairly compensated} \\
\hline \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Yes \\
No \\
I don't know
\end{tabular} \& \({ }_{2}^{13}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 87 \% \\
\& \text { B7\% } \\
\& 0 \% \%
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 55 \\
\& 18 \\
\& 14 \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { cion } \\
\& 210 \% \\
\& 16 \%
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 141 \\
\& 40 \\
\& 60
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 57 \% \\
\& \hline 160 \\
\& \hline 70 \%
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 49 \\
\& 24 \\
\& 30
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 48 \% \\
\& \begin{array}{l}
42 \% \\
294 \%
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 47 \\
\& \hline 24 \\
\& 23
\end{aligned}
\] \& 50\%
200
\(24 \%\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 22 \\
\& 12 \\
\& 10
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 50 \% \\
\& \begin{array}{l}
\text { an } \\
27 \% \\
23 \%
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\] \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{Happy} \\
\hline \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Yes \\
No
\end{tabular} \& \({ }_{2}^{13}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 87 \% \\
\& 13 \%
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 63 \\
\& 13 \\
\& 11
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 72 \% \\
\& \hline 150 \\
\& \hline 150
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1655 \\
\& 54 \\
\& 29
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 66 \% \\
\& \begin{array}{c}
620 \% \\
122 \%
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 63 \\
\& 27 \\
\& 13
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 62 \% \\
\& \text { 62\% } \\
\& \text { 210 }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 55 \\
\& 32 \\
\& 7
\end{aligned}
\] \& 59\%
34\%
\(7 \%\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 26 \\
\& 12 \\
\& { }_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 59 \% \\
\& \begin{array}{c}
57 \% \\
14 \%
\end{array} \\
\& \hline 10
\end{aligned}
\] \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{Gender} \\
\hline \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Men \\
Women \\
Non binary \\
Agender \\
None of the above
\end{tabular} \& \({ }_{4}^{10}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 67 \% \\
\& \text { 67\% } \\
\& 00 \\
\& 00 \% \\
\& 0 \% \\
\& \hline 0 \% \\
\& 0 \%
\end{aligned}
\] \& 58
28
1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 67 \% \\
\& \text { 63\% } \\
\& 00 \% \\
\& 00 \% \\
\& 00 \% \\
\& 0 \% \\
\& 1 \%
\end{aligned}
\] \& 145
100

3

1 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 58 \% \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { 40\% } \\
00 \% \\
0 \% \\
0 \% \\
0 \% \\
0 \%
\end{array}, ~
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 71 \\
& 31 \\
& 17
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 70 \% \\
& 0 \% \% \\
& 10 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 55 \\
& 38 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 59\% } \\
& \text { 40\% } \\
& 10 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \%
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 24

19

1 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 55 \% \\
& 40 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 2 \% \\
& 0 \%
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{Ethnicity} <br>

\hline \& | White |
| :--- |
| Middle Eastern |
| Hispanic |
| Asian |
| N/A |
| Indigenous |
| Prefer not to answe | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 11 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& $73 \%$

$77 \%$
$70 \%$
$0 \% \%$
$7 \% \%$
$70 \%$
$00 \%$

$0 \%$ \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 62 \\
& 3 \\
& 3 \\
& 14 \\
& 14 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 71 \% \\
& 3 \% \\
& 51 \% \\
& 19 \% \\
& 19 \% \\
& 10 \% \\
& 10 \% \\
& 1 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 186 \\
& 4 \\
& 12 \\
& 30 \\
& 6 \\
& 2 \\
& 9
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 75 \% \\
& \text { 72\% } \\
& 59 \% \\
& 20 \% \\
& 20 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 40
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 76 \\
& 36 \\
& 3 \\
& 5 \\
& 9 \\
& 5 \\
& \hline \\
& 1 \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 75 \% \\
& 3 \% \\
& 5 \% \\
& 9 \% \\
& 5 \% \\
& 2 \% \\
& 1 \% \\
& 2 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 56 \\
& 56 \\
& 9 \\
& 15 \\
& 5 \\
& 2 \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& $60 \%$

$5 \%$
$10 \%$
$10 \%$
$50 \%$
$2 \%$
$0 \% \%$

2\% \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 24 \\
& 2 \\
& 5 \\
& 6 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 3 \\
& 3
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 55 \% \\
& 51 \% \\
& 1114 \% \\
& 24 \% \\
& 7 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 7 \%
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{Company size} <br>
\hline \& $100.000+$
$10.000-999999$
$2,500-9999$
$1000-2,499$
$500-999$
$250-999$
$50-249$
$10-49$
$2-10$

1 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 3 \\
& 6 \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $0 \%$

$7 \% \%$
$0 \%$
$7 \%$
$0 \%$
$10 \%$
$120 \%$
200
$13 \%$

$0 \%$ \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \\
& 13 \\
& 18 \\
& 10 \\
& 10 \\
& 10 \\
& 11 \\
& 11 \\
& 3
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \&  \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 25 \\
& 43 \\
& 34 \\
& 28 \\
& 23 \\
& 37 \\
& 28 \\
& 20 \\
& 9 \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& $10 \%$

$17 \%$
$114 \%$
$19 \%$
$99 \%$
$15 \%$
$11 \%$
$88 \%$
$4 \%$

$1 \%$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 7 \\
& 7 \\
& 13 \\
& 11 \\
& 10 \\
& 8 \\
& 15 \\
& 17 \\
& 17 \\
& 6 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $7 \%$

$119 \%$
1110
$10 \%$
$8 \%$
$159 \%$
$177 \%$
$15 \%$
66

$1 \%$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 16 \\
& 20 \\
& 13 \\
& 15 \\
& 12 \\
& 12 \\
& 9 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $17 \%$

$27 \%$
$114 \%$
$140 \%$
$190 \%$
$130 \%$
$60 \%$
$3 \% \%$
$30 \%$
$0 \%$

$0 \%$ \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 9 \\
& 9 \\
& 9 \\
& 5 \\
& 5 \\
& 5 \\
& 8 \\
& 8 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 20 \% \\
& 200 \% \\
& 1110 \\
& 711 \% \\
& 17 \% \\
& 10 \% \\
& 20 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 2 \%
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{Education} <br>

\hline \& | Phd/Doctorate |
| :--- |
| Masters |
| Bachelors |
| Associate |
| High School or GED Technical or |
| Professional Certificatio $\qquad$ | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 5 \\
& 6 \\
& 6
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 7\% } \\
& 30 \% \\
& 300 \\
& 200 \\
& 00 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 27 \\
& 53 \\
& 5 \\
& 3 \\
& 3 \\
& 3 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \% \\
& 310 \\
& 610 \\
& 61 \% \\
& 3 \% \\
& 1 \% \\
& 1 \% \\
& 1 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \\
& 82 \\
& 138 \\
& 13 \\
& 8 \\
& 4 \\
& 4 \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& $1 \%$

$35 \%$
$55 \%$
$5 \%$
$3 \%$
$4 \%$

$1 \%$ \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 24 \\
& 55 \\
& 5 \\
& 12 \\
& 3 \\
& 3
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \% \\
& 240 \\
& 544 \% \\
& 5 \% \\
& 52 \% \\
& 12 \% \\
& 3 \% \\
& 3 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 29 \\
& 55 \\
& 4 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& $1 \%$

$30 \%$
$59 \%$
$49 \%$
$2 \%$
2\%

0\% \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 18 \\
& 16 \\
& 5 \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \% \\
& 419 \\
& 310 \\
& 311 \% \\
& 55 \% \\
& 2 \% \\
& 2 \%
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{Age} <br>
\hline \& $18-24$
25.34
$35-44$
$45-54$
$55-64$
$65-74$
$65+1$
$75+$

N/A \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \\
& 6 \\
& 7
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \% \\
& 130 \\
& 40 \% \\
& 40 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 40 \% \\
& 43 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 12 \\
& 43 \\
& 28 \\
& 4
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \% \\
& 149 \\
& 149 \% \\
& 23 \% \\
& 5 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 41 \\
& \begin{array}{l}
129 \\
74 \\
4
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& $0 \%$

$16 \%$
520
500
$20 \%$
$00 \%$
$00 \%$

$0 \%$ \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 33 \\
& 58 \\
& 14
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 32 \% \\
& 57 \% \\
& 514 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 34 \\
& 41 \\
& 18 \\
& 18
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& O\%\%

$36 \%$
$440 \%$
$199 \%$
$10 \%$
$0 \%$
$0 \%$

$0 \%$ \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 18 \\
& 20 \\
& 6
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \% \\
& 410 \% \\
& 415 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \% \\
& 0 \%
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{Parent} <br>

\hline \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Yes } \\
& \text { No } \\
& \text { NA }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& ${ }_{1}^{14}$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 93 \% \\
& \left.\begin{array}{c}
9 \% \\
7 \% \\
0 \%
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 53

34 \& 619\% \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 150 \\
& 98
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 60 \% \\
& \begin{array}{l}
60 \% \\
30 \%
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 58

45 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 57 \% \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { 54\% } \\
0 \% \%
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 39

55 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 41 \% \\
& 50 \% \\
& 0 \%
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 18

26 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 411 \% \\
& 59 \% \\
& 0 \%
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| Appendix | Industry o | view |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Technology | Finance | ${ }^{\text {\|Heatheare }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Consulting }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Agency }}{ }^{1 \%}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {Retail }}$ | - |  |  |  |  | $1 \%$ |
|  | Number | $270{ }^{45 \%}$ | ${ }^{68} \quad 118$ | 24.48 | ${ }^{50} 8{ }^{\text {8\% }}$ | ${ }^{6 \%}$ | $14 \quad 2 \%$ | ${ }^{31}$ | 5\% | 20 | ${ }^{36}$ | $12 \quad 2 \%$ | 7 | ${ }^{12 \%}$ |
|  | Aveasestary | S175.460 | ${ }^{81016,565}$ | ss,6,65 | S159064 | S150,45 | ${ }^{13929213}$ | Stosens |  | 56250 |  | ${ }^{1135625}$ | S4642 |  |
|  | Faityomensased |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\underbrace{\substack{718 \% \\ 130}}_{\substack{17 \\ 4}}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{9}^{16}$ |  | $\frac{11}{11}$ |  | $\underbrace{6}$ | ${ }_{\substack{35 \\ 18}}^{\substack{38}}$ |  |
|  | Hapoy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\underbrace{\substack{83 \% \\ 180 \%}}_{\substack { \text { cosem } \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{20{ \text { cosem } \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { 2 0 } }\end{subarray}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{24}}^{\substack{\text { chem } \\ 4}}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{12}$ |  |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{42 \\ 22^{2}}}$ |  |
|  | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{200}$ |  |  |  |  |  | (tas |
|  | Etmmity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{1}^{22}$ |  |  |  |  |  | (ex |
|  | Tanigasout pey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { it } \\ 5}}_{\substack{18}}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{28}^{83}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{26 \%}}_{\substack{\text { cem } \\ \text { 20\% }}}$ | ${ }_{4}^{16}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{86 \\ 17}}^{\substack{8}}$ |  |
|  | Traspenenopericy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{218}^{429}$ |  |  | ${ }_{81}^{8}$ | ${ }_{28}^{68}$ | ${ }_{14}{ }_{\text {i }}$ | ${ }_{28}^{38}$ | ${ }^{100 \%}$ | ${ }_{17}^{3}$ | ${ }_{\text {ckis }}^{\text {185\% }}$ |  | ${ }_{6}^{10}$ | ${ }_{8}^{168 \%}$ |
|  | Remose poley |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full Remote } \\ & \text { Hybrid } \\ & \text { Temporarily Remote } \\ & \text { No Remote } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{15 \\ 14 \\ 14}}^{2}$ |  | 31 | $\substack { 150 \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{\text { gam } \\ \text { anc } \\ 0 \%{ 1 5 0 \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { \text { gam } \\ \text { anc } \\ 0 \% } } \end{subarray}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{3, 3, 0}}{\substack{3}}$ | $\underset{\substack{1006 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { and } \\ 060 \%}}{ }$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## ${ }^{60} \quad$ The DLF is a private community of design leaders.

Number of design leader members



[^0] makes for happy pay for design leaders in this first edition of the Design Leadership Salary Report. There's so much more to the study that goes beyond the report. Want to get more involved?

```
Design Leader
Salary Report
2021
```

62 Design leaders,
join the discussion
We'd love for you to join the Design Leadership Forum, private community where design leaders are discussing these insights, and sharing notes about how to mature their team and careers. Apply to join the community at designleadership.com

Everyone else, stay tuned for more

There's more design leadership content coming out later this year. To stay up to date, subscribe to insidedesign.com

Contributors

Aaron Stum Jessica Green Richard Banfiel Tiffany Vurek Lee Vincent Brathwaite

Leadership


[^0]:    This report won't be a one time thing. We also asked leaders about their total compensation package and further subjects to

    Through this, and future reports, we aspire to change industry norms around how we discuss pay.

